

SOVEREIGNTY OR GLOBALISM, WHICH?

(WE CAN'T HAVE BOTH)

By E J EKKER

The most disturbing thing about the question is that, just to ask it implies such a loss of faith in, and touch with, God as to signal a hopeless surrender to those forces of evil so dedicated to the enslavement of mankind.

Yet most people do not see the stealthy, surreptitious, deliberate insertion of the tools of separation of men from God and, thus, from their own sovereignty. Those tools range from the most insidious, addictive drugs (either in direct, "raw" form, or blended with tobacco, wines, and liquors), through the degradation of family values through movies and TV "sitcoms" and the exploitation of sex and music, and finally to the aggrandizement of corruption in politics and the virtual abandonment of the Herculean effort required to maintain a decent and effective school system. These covert tools of world control are far more pervasive and damaging than are the few indiscriminate bombings attributed to "terrorists" which often share the same goal of world domination.

Dictionaries and Encyclopedias will define sovereignty in political terms, as though only states/nations could possess it. Sovereignty is far more than that. Sovereignty also describes that appropriate relationship between God and man; a relationship wherein there is no "broker" in between God and man, and wherein sovereignty, provided by God, is matched by the acceptance of responsibility by the man. (The use of the term "man" is intended to include women and children; God does not discriminate.)

And so, Sovereignty is that status of freedom granted by God in exchange for the acceptance of responsibility (for himself) by the individual.

Nations, like men, are born sovereign. Men lose their sovereignty in many ways, but God provides a way for each to restore his sovereignty by rejecting commitments made for him by others and reaffirming his direct connection to God. Nations usually lose their sovereignty through colonization, losing a war with a neighbor nation, or by taking loans from the IMF/World Bank which require their political leaders to sign agreements giving up certain basic rights regarding the responsibility of the nation to determine the amount of currency it may issue, or have in circulation, in addition to arms and nuclear restrictions.

Nations, too, have a way to regain their sovereignty--by reestablishing their responsibility for themselves. One of the several significant objectives of Global

Alliance Investment Association (GAIA) is to provide the ways and means for nations caught in the IMF/WB debt trap to regain their sovereignty.

"Globalization", transparency, open markets and open ownership, options, "puts" and "calls", derivatives, "short" (commodities, common stock and currency) sales, currency trading, and foreign exchange are all tools of, and invented by, the international bankers (the trading elite) for the purpose of "winning" the money and property **earned** by the working (producing) people. Other, less visible, tools are organizations such as the "Black Nobility", the Club of Rome, the Trilateral Commission, the Bilderbergers, Freemasons, and the Council on Foreign Relations, to name only a few. Even the United Nations was formed by and is used to implement the policies of the international bankers' "cartel". Through its ownership of virtually all of the major press and media, commerce and manufacturing, transportation and communication, and its loans to those it does not own, in combination with its control (through its lobbies) of the governments of nearly all of the "developed" nations, the cartel maintains a strangle-hold on the economics of the world.

That economic strangle-hold is maintained by using all of the tools listed above plus two more: first, the "brainwash" effect of controlling the content and curricula of the major (and most minor) schools of economics and banking for two centuries so that the not-yet-developed nations believe that the source of all money (foreign exchange, especially) is from those "wealthy nations" and banks controlled by the cartel, and second, that the issuance of new currency by a nation leads inevitably and immediately to a dilution of the valuation of that currency--which is strictly enforced because the cartel dictates and controls the value of every currency and it allows no one to forget it--except Malaysia. Dr. Mahathir was absolutely correct when he said, "The IMF and the international bankers (Jews) use the foreign exchange value of our currency to 'discipline' us--our only recourse is to set and freeze the value of the ringgit." In September 1998, after losing some \$240B to the manipulators, they froze the currency value and stopped the "short" selling in their stock market, which actions have proven so successful that even the major press occasionally admits that the Malaysian economy is much healthier than those "saved" by the "austerity" of the IMF.

To regain sovereignty, each nation must regain control of the value of its currency. As long as the international bankers, via the manipulation of "foreign exchange rates", are able to control the value of a/all nations' currencies, they will be able to rob, pillage and steal the assets of the victim nations. Only by committing and maintaining its currency on a "common denominator" with other nations can a nation break the foreign control of the value of its currency. That common denominator is, and has always been, gold. Gold has been used as the foundation of money for millennia. Only in the last one

hundred years has it been derided and criticized into a secondary role by the fiat paper money advocates, the international banking cartel.

Not only have they ridiculed and scorned gold, they have preached that a return to the gold standard is so complicated that it has become virtually impossible. That is, of course, as wrong as so many other things they preach in their prestigious "schools of economics". Perhaps, if you have no gold in your nation, returning to a gold standard might require some thought and planning, or the assistance of an alliance of nations dedicated to the achievement of economic independence. But for a nation in Southeast Asia, especially the Philippines, it is easy, actually much easier than continuing to try to cope with others manipulating the value of your currency.

In 1945 at a conclave later called "Bretton Woods" the Allied Nations, seeing that they would win World War II, began to plan for the "post victory recovery period". One of their devices was the United Nations, others were the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund. The few "nationalistic" delegates who recognized the seeds of world domination and control in those high-sounding "charters" were quickly quashed by the bankers. Wars cost a lot of money and the bankers, especially the Federal Reserve System in the US, had created paper money to loan unstintingly; they were not about to be denied the objectives of their having orchestrated the war in the first place. "Reconstruction" would require a lot more money and they knew that if they could coordinate and consolidate their control of the money supply, they could virtually control the world.

That is why any nation that has accepted a WB/IMF loan is prevented by those loan agreements from deciding the amount of its own currency it shall issue, or from paying off those loans by issuing its own currency to do so. Thus it should be made clear that sovereignty can only be achieved by a nation after the WB/IMF loans have been repaid so that the agreements are no longer in force. If the nation's own currency is not acceptable as payment, what is? US dollars, foreign exchange currencies, and probably gold and gold-based money; only by reading the agreements can we be sure.

If the Philippine debt to the WB/IMF is some \$50B, it would take approximately 5,000 metric tons of gold to pay it. Does the Philippines have that much gold? Yes, many times that. Does the WB/IMF need or want gold? They say they do. If the problem is that easily solved, why hasn't it been done?

Could it be that there is a "borrowed money syndrome" here that promulgates the idea that WB/IMF money need never be paid back? That paying it off, especially in GOLD, is a huge waste? Or could it be that the local bankers and finance people are so accustomed to the status quo that they never question whether it can, or should be, paid

off? Who would benefit if the WB/IMF debt were paid and the country returned to a gold-based currency? Just about everyone except the WB/IMF and the international banking cartel; to confirm that, President Estrada should be asked who it is that is pushing him so hard for constitutional change. It seems that someone is more than a little desperate to effect that change; quite obviously there are forces at work that want to collect on their campaign support by being allowed to buy Philippine companies and properties at fire-sale prices.

What would be different if the Philippines were returned to sovereignty and its currency based upon gold? To state it in a broad sense, within a few years the Filipino people could easily achieve a standard of living equal to or greater than exists in the US or Japan. Inflation would be zero (gold does not "inflate") so the 10% "inflation component" in domestic interest rates could be removed, leaving interest rates around 4% (instead of 14%). That alone is a huge boon to all of government, commerce and industry and would free billions of pesos for more constructive use. Even the federal tax rates could be greatly lowered at the same time as wages for all governmental employees can be increased to reduce the need for graft and corruption. No one in the nation would need to borrow money "offshore" (there is a way for the central bank to provide adequate gold-based money without depreciating the value of the peso) so all of the concern over the nation's "credit rating" would be gone (and gold-based money provides an immediate and automatic AAA rating anyway). There would need be no concern over "foreign exchange" and "balance of payments" because gold-based currency is directly acceptable as payment for goods and services (without the waste of time and expense of going to an intermediate foreign exchange currency) and a nation with gold-based currency need not worry about its balance of payments since the big problem of enough "foreign exchange" has been solved--it is no longer needed. There would be no need for Overseas Workers to send "forex" home. Wages would soon be better (in real terms) here than anywhere. The Finance Department would not have to crawl and beg for foreign loans because none would be needed, the nation would have plenty of money.

New money can be printed, practically without limit, if it is **always** used first to purchase gold to be held as reserves within the banking system of a nation, even if it must purchase the gold from "off shore". In fact, as gold reserves are accumulated the peso will increase in relative value, helping to elevate the level of its purchasing power which is especially beneficial to the poor. This nation has more than adequate gold "on shore" and thus can double the value of its gold-buying by buying from its own people with its newly issued currency. Infrastructure facilities, new or improved utilities, port and airport improvements, railroads and rapid transit systems and highways all increase the value of the nation--all of which can be matched by measured and appropriate increases in the money supply. This nation is not starving for money because it is poor;

it is poor because the IMF agreements allow the "rationing" of the money supply, removing virtually all semblance of sovereignty.

The Administration might say, "But we don't have 5,000 tons of gold and the IMF agreements prevent us from printing \$50B worth of pesos to pay for that much gold; how can we get enough gold with which to pay them off?" Suffice to say, without giving away our strategies, that there are several ways it may be done with the assistance of Global Alliance Investment Association. All that is now required is the WILL; when we work together we can find the WAY.

The failure of the World Trade Organization in Seattle exposed "globalization" for the farce it is. Its beneficiaries are almost exclusively the "transnational" corporations that already have most of the world's wealth, the same corporations that are owned/controlled by the elite international banking cartel, and who are the owners of the Federal Reserve System and the guarantors of the debt of the US Treasury to Global Alliance. To be positioned to do business in a GLOBAL community does not require that a nation open itself to allowing foreigners to buy up the best of its properties, businesses, and natural resources; it does require strong financial reserves and a freely recognizable currency which are both entirely within the reach of the Republic of the Philippines.

January 27, 2000